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#### Abstract

M_{r}=221 \cdot 28\), orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{2} 2_{1}, a=$ 7.550 (2), $\quad b=12.684$ (3), $\quad c=22.095$ (3) $\AA, \quad V=$ $2116(1) \AA^{3}, Z=8, D_{x}=1.389 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=$ $0.71073 \AA, \mu=2.79 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=928, T=295 \mathrm{~K}$, $R=0.072$ for 1625 observed reflections. The extremely labile kinetic adduct from reaction of 2 -aminothiazoline with $\mathrm{PhN}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ has been identified thus also establishing several related structures. The geometry of the nearly flat molecule is determined by a hydrogen bond between the anilide NH and the imino nitrogen (imino NH not hydrogen-bonded to urea oxygen).


Introduction. Reaction of 2-amino-4,5-dihydrothiazole (1) with phenyl isothiocyanate was first reported (Fromm \& Kapeller-Adler, 1928) to give a lowmelting adduct (2) which rearranged on melting (or more easily in solution) to an isomer (4). Later workers failed to find the low-melting adduct and assigned structure (2) to the high-melting isomer (m.p. 421 K ) based on an 'unequivocal synthesis' (Klayman, Maul \& Milne, 1967). In a subsequent retraction, the m.p. 421 K isomer was reassigned structure (4) (Klayman, Maul \& Milne, 1968). A similar product (depicted as 5 ) was obtained (no 3 found) in the analogous treatment of (1) with phenyl isocyanate (Klayman, Maul \& Milne, 1968). As part of a major investigation of the pharmaceutical utility of 2 -pyrrolidinylidene ureas (Rasmussen, Gardocki, Plampin, Twardzik, Reynolds, Molinari, Schwartz, Bennetts, Price \& Marakowski, 1978) and aryl urea derivatives of creatinine, the reactions of (1) with both $\mathrm{PhN}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{PhN}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ have been repeated and compounds identified as (2)-(5) isolated. All four structure proofs rest in part on the single-crystal X-ray structure analysis of the very unstable (3) presented here.

(1)
(2) $X=S$
(4) $x=S$
(3) $x=0$
(5) $x=0$

Experimental. Irregularly shaped crystal $(0.25 \times 0.2 \times$ 0.3 mm ) cleaved from larger crystal grown from ether-hexane at 195 K (Rasmussen, Villani, Mutter \& Griffin, 1984); unit-cell parameters from 25 reflections in $\theta$ range $1.6-30^{\circ}$; Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer (graphite monochromator); systematic absences $h 00 h$ odd, $0 k 0 k$ odd, $00 l$ lodd; data corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors but not for absorption; max. $2 \theta=60^{\circ}, h, k, l$ max. $10,17,31$; variable scan rate; three standard reflections every 1 h (anisotropic decay correction: 0.974-1.060, av. correction $=1.019$ ); 3706 reflections measured, 2733 unique, 1108 unobserved $[I<1 \cdot 75 \sigma(I)$; structure by direct methods using MULTAN78 (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1978) with all non- H atoms refined anisotropically on $F ; \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N} 1)$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N} 3)$ found by difference Fourier maps and their positions refined; remaining H atoms placed in calculated positions ( $B_{\text {iso }}=5.0 \AA^{2}$ ); 283 parameters refined; $\quad R=0.072, \quad \omega R=0.068, \quad S=1.33$; Corfield, Doedens \& Ibers (1967) weighting scheme with $p=0.07$ and $w=F^{2} / \sigma^{2}\left(F^{2}\right)$; max. $\Delta / \sigma=0.02$; max. height in final difference Fourier map = $0.212 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} ; f, f^{\prime \prime}$ from Cromer \& Waber (1974); programs: MULTAN78, SDP Plus version 1.0 (EnrafNonius, 1979), ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).
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Table 1. Atomic coordinates and $B_{\text {eq }}$ values ( $\AA^{2}$ )

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\frac{4}{3}\left[a^{2} B_{11}+b^{2} B_{22}+c^{2} B_{33}\right]$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}$ |
| Molecule $A$ |  |  |  |  |
| S(1) | 1.1044 (2) | 0.5140 (1) | 0.6472 (1) | 4.9 |
| O(1) | $0 \cdot 8042$ (6) | 0.7508 (3) | 0.7844 (2) | 4.8 |
| N(1) | 1.0366 (8) | 0.4569 (4) | 0.7631 (2) | 4.9 |
| N(2) | 0.9458 (6) | 0.6230 (3) | 0.7308 (2) | 3.4 |
| N(3) | 0.8686 (7) | 0.5951 (4) | 0.8313 (2) | $4 \cdot 1$ |
| C(1) | 1.0249 (8) | 0.5248 (4) | 0.7224 (2) | $3 \cdot 5$ |
| C(2) | 0.9449 (10) | 0.6913 (5) | 0.6781 (3) | 3.9 |
| C(3) | 1.0024 (14) | 0.6359 (6) | 0.6259 (3) | 7.7 |
| C(4) | 0.8671 (7) | 0.6630 (4) | 0.7835 (2) | $3 \cdot 2$ |
| C(5) | 0.7829 (8) | 0.6100 (5) | 0.8874 (2) | $3 \cdot 8$ |
| C(6) | 0.7224 (12) | 0.7058 (5) | 0.9088 (3) | 6.0 |
| C(7) | 0.6423 (12) | 0.7096 (6) | 0.9647 (3) | 6.2 |
| C(8) | 0.6194 (11) | 0.6232 (6) | 0.9996 (3) | $6 \cdot 6$ |
| C(9) | 0.6801 (11) | 0.5277 (6) | 0.9784 (3) | $6 \cdot 3$ |
| C(10) | 0.7612 (10) | 0.5205 (5) | 0.9226 (3) | $5 \cdot 1$ |
| Molecule $B$ |  |  |  |  |
| S(1') | 0.6155 (3) | 0.4224 (1) | 0.6379 (1) | 5.4 |
| O(1') | 0.5469 (7) | 0.2240 (3) | 0.8226 (2) | $5 \cdot 4$ |
| N(1') | 0.4926 (9) | 0.5162 (4) | 0.7402 (2) | 4.9 |
| N(2') | 0.5855 (7) | 0.3398 (3) | 0.7451 (2) | 3.8 |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.4442 (8) | 0.3920 (4) | 0.8338 (2) | 4.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.5557 (8) | 0.4352 (5) | 0.7145 (3) | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.6448 (9) | 0.2532 (5) | 0.7070 (3) | 4.5 |
| C( $3^{\prime}$ ) | 0.7305 (10) | 0.2993 (5) | 0.6510 (3) | $6 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.5252 (9) | 0.3144 (5) | 0.8030 (3) | 4.4 |
| C(5') | 0.3610 (9) | 0.3898 (5) | 0.8902 (3) | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.3610 (10) | $0 \cdot 3027$ (5) | 0.9280 (3) | $5 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.2723 (12) | 0.3076 (6) | 0.9833 (3) | 6.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | 0.1892 (11) | 0.3972 (7) | 1.0011 (3) | 7.0 |
| C(9') | 0.1889 (11) | 0.4854 (6) | 0.9637 (3) | 6.7 |
| C(10') | 0.2733 (11) | 0.4804 (5) | 0.9092 (3) | $5 \cdot 7$ |



Fig. 1. Crystallographic numbering system with bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ for non- H atoms (e.s.d. ranges are 0.006-0.011 $\AA$ and $0.3-0.7^{\circ}$ ). The upper value refers to molecule $A$.

Discussion. Atomic positional parameters are listed in Table 1.* Significant bond distances and angles are in Fig. 1 with the crystallographic numbering system and a stereoview of adjacent molecules is shown in Fig. 2. Both unique molecules ( $A$ and $B$ ) are nearly planar; the dihedral angle between plane $P 1[\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)]$ and plane $P 2[\mathrm{~N}(1), \mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{N}(3)]$ is $14.6^{\circ}$ in $A$ and $5.7^{\circ}$ in $B$. While $S(1)$ is in plane $P 2 A$ (deviation $0.007 \AA$ ), $\mathrm{S}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ is $0.31 \AA$ from plane $P 2 B$. Surprisingly, the molecule chooses a conformation (3a) in which the anilide NH is hydrogen-bonded to the imino nitrogen. The expected geometry in which the imino NH is hydrogen-bonded to the more basic urea oxygen ( $3 b$ ) is not found (note: in both $3 a$ and $3 b$, the phenyl moiety is in the normal position os H in the secondary amide). We ascribe the preference for (3a) over (3b) to a greater destabilizing dipole repulsion between $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ in (3b). In the packing of $A$ and $B$, an attraction between antiparallel $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ dipoles is evident; also the phenyl and thiazolidine rings in $A$ and $B$ are nearly parallel: $P 1 A \wedge P 1 B=9 \cdot 6, P 2 A \wedge P 2 B$ $=6.7^{\circ}$ (for a similar effect see Wooden, Hoskin \& Olofson, 1981).

(3a)

(3b)


Fig. 2. Stereoscopic view of adjacent molecules ( $B$ on left). Thermal ellipsoids for non-H atoms represent $50 \%$ probability (phenyl H atoms omitted for clarity); $\mathrm{C}(6)$ is closest to $\mathrm{O}(1)$.

We thank Drs R. R. Whittle and G. P. Wooden for useful discussions.
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#### Abstract

M_{r}=213 \cdot 13\), orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}, a=$ 9.858 (2) , $\quad b=10.951$ (2), $\quad c=10.984$ (2) A,$\quad V=$ $1185 \cdot 8 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{m}=1 \cdot 191$ (2) (by flotation method in KI solution), $D_{x}=1 \cdot 194$ (2) $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}, \lambda($ Mo $K \alpha)=$ $0.7107 \AA, \mu=0.41 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=456, T=293 \mathrm{~K}$. Final $R=0.041$ for 875 significant reflections. The $C=C$ bond length is $1.382(4) \AA$, significantly longer than that in ethylene, $1.336(2) \AA$. The molecule is twisted about the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond by $23 \cdot 1(4)^{\circ}$ and the phenyl group is rotated out of the plane of this bond by $39.4(4)^{\circ}$. Molecular packing is determined by van der Waals forces.

Introduction. Polarized twisted ethylenes having donors such as the 1,3 -dimethyl-2-imidazolidinylidene ring and phenyl and cyano groups as acceptors have been investigated by X-ray crystallography (Adhikesavalu, Kamath \& Venkatesan, 1983; Abrahamsson, Rehnberg, Liljefors \& Sandström, 1974; Sen \& Venkatesan, 1984).

We have now investigated the molecular structure of (1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinylidene)phenylacetonitrile with a strong donor and weak acceptor combination.
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Experimental. Single crystals of title compound obtained from toluene by slow evaporation, approximate dimensions $0.2 \times 0.4 \times 0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Preliminary Weissenberg photographs indicated that the crystals are orthorhombic. Lattice parameters refined using a least-squares fit to the settings for 25 accurately centered reflections. Nonius CAD-4-11M diffractometer, monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\omega / 2 \theta$ scan mode, $\theta \leq 24^{\circ}$. During data collection three standard reflections $(400,004,123)$ showed only statistical variation within $\pm 2 \%$. 1141 reflections collected, $h k l$ range: $h 0$ to $11, k 0$ to $12, l 0$ to 12,875 significant, $\left|F_{o}\right| \geq 3 \sigma\left(\left|F_{o}\right|\right)$. Data not corrected for absorption. Structure solved by direct methods (MULTAN80; Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1980). Full-matrix refinement (SHELX76; Sheldrick, 1976) of a scale factor, positional and anisotropic thermal parameters (isotropic thermal parameters for H atoms, located from a difference map) converged to $R=0.041, \quad R_{w}=0.037, \quad S=1.97 ;$ $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} \quad$ minimized where $\quad w=1.2213 /$ $\left[\sigma^{2}(F)+0.00002\left|F_{o}\right|\right] . \Delta / \sigma$ for non-H atoms $\sim 0.001$. Final difference map featureless. Atomic scattering
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